

TERMS OF REFERENCE AND TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS

I. General information

Assignment name	Conduct final evaluation for the EU Green Recovery Facility in Sri Lanka
Beneficiary	EU Green Recovery Facility in Sri Lanka (GPDF)
Country	Sri Lanka
Total estimated duration	Four months from the award date
Total maximum estimated budget	Maximum EUR 39,000.00 (Thirty-nine thousand Euros)

II. Context and justification of the need

1) Expertise France

Expertise France (EF) is France's public agency and inter-ministerial actor in charge of international technical cooperation, the second-largest agency of its kind in Europe. As a subsidiary of Agence Française de Développement Group (AFD Group), it designs and implements projects that sustainably strengthen public policies in developing and emerging countries. Expertise France works in key areas of development: governance, security, climate change, health, education, and more. Alongside its partners, it contributes to achieving the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

2) European Union Delegation to Sri Lanka and the Maldives

The European Union (EU) Delegation to Sri Lanka and the Maldives is the funding partner of the *EU Green Recovery Facility*¹. Cooperation between the EU and Sri Lanka is based on the partners' mutual respect for democratic principles and human rights and covers a number of key areas of cooperation, including; diversification of trade and investment; networking between EU and Sri Lankan business communities; strengthening technical, economic and cultural linkages and providing technical assistance to Sri Lanka to interact more effectively with the EU; supporting Sri Lanka's efforts to improve the living conditions of the poorer sections of the population; green recovery, environmental protection and sustainable management of natural resources.

The EU Green Recovery Facility is part of a series of EU funded initiatives to be rolled out between 2021 and 2027 under the Team Europe Initiative (TEI) "Green Recovery", which will mainly focus on green economic recovery and on valuing and protecting Sri Lanka's rich biodiversity.

For more information, please visit the website: https://www.eeas.europa.eu/delegations/sri-lanka_en

3) EU Green Recovery Facility in Sri Lanka

The EU Green Recovery Facility (the Facility) is a four-year intervention funded by the European Union (EU). It seeks to use the recent economic crisis as an opportunity to support Sri Lanka towards a more sustainable growth trajectory. The ultimate goal entails achieving a green (and blue) economy that is

¹ The "EU Green Recovery Facility" is the "brand name" of the Green Policy Dialogue Facility (GPDF) initiative.

circular, carbon neutral, and socially inclusive; which, not only, would be more respectful of biodiversity resources and socio-economic/demographic context, but would also provide much needed macroeconomic stability.

In this context, the Facility harnesses policy experiences and knowledge from the EU, along with national and regional ones, to contribute to the green recovery (medium term) and transition (long term) of the Sri-Lankan economy and society.

The Facility more specifically supports the mainstreaming of green economy (GE) challenges and objectives in the Sri Lankan policy landscape, as well as the facilitation of bringing GE policy to action by contributing to the following specific objectives:

- **SO1:** Support the development and implementation of inclusive national green, blue and sustainable public policies, with participation of women and men in all their diversity.
- **SO2:** Improve the business and investment climate in view of promoting an inclusive circular economy (both green and blue).
- **SO3:** Increase accessibility and availability to green and sustainable finance.

The primary direct beneficiaries of the Facility are public institutions, particularly the Ministry of Environment and the Ministry of Finance as well as government institutions focused on SMEs. Other direct beneficiaries include the private sector, financial institutions, and civil society (See annexure 1 for list of key beneficiaries and stakeholders).

The Facility is implemented at the national level through a portfolio of policy, technical-assistance, capacity-development and investment-facilitation activities. The portfolio covers areas such as inclusive green-economy policy, sustainable consumption and production, renewable energy and energy transition, environmental monitoring, sustainable finance, digital solutions, and nature-based solutions (See annexure 2 for the activity details).

The Facility works with public institutions, private-sector actors, financial institutions, and technical experts across these areas. Most Facility activities are implemented through contracted service providers, including consulting firms, individual experts and technical organisations, under the coordination and oversight of Expertise France and in collaboration with relevant national partner institutions.

The Facility has been implemented as a flexible and demand-responsive mechanism, allowing activities to be developed and adjusted in response to government priorities, stakeholder needs and changes in Sri Lanka's economic, environmental, institutional and policy context. Strategic oversight and coordination are provided through the Facility's governance arrangements, including its Steering Committee, while individual activities are implemented in close collaboration with the relevant national partner institutions. As the Facility approaches completion, the final evaluation will assess performance and results across the full portfolio, examine the strengths and limitations of the Facility approach, and identify lessons and recommendations to support the continuation, institutionalization, replication or scaling-up of relevant results and inform future programming.

III. Objectives and desired results

1) Purpose of the evaluation

The purpose of the evaluation is to conduct an independent assessment of the Facility's overall performance and contribution to Sri Lanka's inclusive green transition. It is intended to meet the dual purpose of supporting accountability to funders, partners and beneficiaries and generating evidence-based lessons and recommendations to inform future interventions.

2) Specific objectives

The specific objectives of the assignment are to:

- provide Expertise France with an independent, evidence-based assessment of the Facility's overall performance, including its relevance, coherence, effectiveness, efficiency, impact and sustainability;
- analyse the project's contribution to Sri Lanka's inclusive green transition; and
- generate practical lessons and recommendations that Expertise France can use to inform the design and implementation of future interventions, especially Facility-type programming.

3) Anticipated results

The assignment is expected to produce:

- An assessment of the Facility's overall performance against the OECD-DAC evaluation criteria, covering progress and achievements against the results framework and the validity of the intervention logic, with particular attention to sustainability, ownership, institutionalisation, replication and scale-up prospects of the Facility's results;
- Endline values for selected outcome-level and overall objective indicators, supported by verifiable evidence where methodologically feasible;
- An analysis of the factors that supported or hindered implementation;
- An account of significant changes, intended or unintended, arising from the Facility's implementation, highlighting good practices to inform future programming;
- Practical, prioritised and forward-looking recommendations for Expertise France, the European Union, AFD and relevant national partners.

4) Project indicators -endline

The final evaluation must include endline assessment to determine the final values of certain indicators in the logical framework:

Results	Results Chain	Indicator
Overall Objective	To contribute to the green recovery and transition of Sri Lanka economy and society.	1 Global Green Economy Index
Outcome 1	The development and implementation of inclusive national green, blue and sustainable public policies, with	1.c Nr of bankable projects which are ready to finance

	participation of women and men in all their diversity is supported.	1.e Financial leverage of the EU- and Member State-funded interventions implemented that integrate recommendations formulated by the Facility.
Outcome 2	The business and investment climate in view of promoting a circular economy is improved.	2.b Percentage of companies that were involved in/reached out by the project activities which have engaged in efforts on SCP ² practices.
		2.c Nr of new and updated regulations and standards on trade, investment and business in partner countries that are aligned to EU/international practices thanks to the Facility.
Outcome 3	Accessibility and availability to green and sustainable finance is increased.	3.a Volume of green investments in targeted sectors by EDFI ³ s disaggregated by the size of beneficiaries (large companies, MSMEs, public sector).
		3.b Increase in total Green Loans by national of FI ⁴ s in Sri Lanka (%)
		3.d Nr of FIs which have incorporated recommendations from the project
		3.a Number of FIs that, with Facility support, design or advance green or sustainable financing initiatives aligned with Global Gateway priorities.
		3.b Percentage of Facility supported FIs reporting increased understanding and willingness on the importance of green and sustainable financing.

IV. Key evaluation questions

The evaluation will address the following key evaluation questions under the six OECD-DAC evaluation criteria, as well as other angles of analysis. These shall be refined by the consultant(s) during the inception phase and linked to the relevant judgement criteria, indicators, data sources and data collection methods in the evaluation matrix.

1) **Relevance**

- To what extent were the Facility's objectives and interventions aligned with Sri Lanka's green-transition priorities and the needs of its partner/beneficiary institutions and other stakeholders?
- Were the activities and forms of support selected by the Facility appropriate for addressing the identified policy, institutional, technical, capacity and financing gaps?
- How effectively did the Facility adapt its priorities and approaches to changes in Sri Lanka's economic, environmental, institutional and policy context?

2) **Coherence**

- To what extent were the Facility's interventions coherent and mutually reinforcing across its three specific objectives and activity portfolio?

² Sustainable Consumption and Production

³ European Development Finance Institutions

⁴ Financial Institutes

- b) How well did the Facility complement relevant national policies, institutional initiatives, EU and Team Europe priorities, and interventions supported by other development partners?
- c) Were there any significant gaps, overlaps or potential synergies that could have been better addressed?

3) Effectiveness

- a) To what extent did the Facility achieve the outcomes established in its results framework?
- b) Which of the Facility's support modalities (technical assistance, policy support, capacity development and stakeholder engagement) contributed most effectively to the results achieved, and why?
- c) What internal and external factors facilitated or constrained implementation and the achievement of results?
- d) Were there any significant unintended results (positive or negative), and how did they arise?
- e) Was the Facility modality effective in translating policy priorities into practical, institutionally owned and potentially financeable interventions?

4) Efficiency

- a) Were the Facility's financial, human and technical resources used efficiently in relation to the results achieved?
- b) Were the governance, management, coordination, procurement and decision-making arrangements appropriate for the timely and effective implementation of the Facility?
- c) Could the Facility have achieved better or more timely results through different implementation arrangements or allocation of resources?
- d) To what extent did the Facility's contracting, technical oversight and quality-assurance arrangements support the timely and effective delivery of activities and results?
- e) To what extent did the Facility model provide the flexibility and responsiveness required to address evolving government priorities and stakeholder needs?
- f) What were the main strengths and limitations of implementing the intervention as a Facility?

5) Impact

- a) What significant changes in policies, institutional practices, stakeholder capacities, coordination, investment readiness or access to green and sustainable finance can be linked to the Facility's support, including any evidence of diffusion beyond its direct activities?
- b) To what extent has the Facility contributed to Sri Lanka's broader inclusive green transition, taking into account the influence of other actors and contextual factors?

6) Sustainability

- a) To what extent are Facility-supported results owned and institutionally anchored by the relevant national partners?
- b) Are adequate institutional capacities, partnerships, financing arrangements and other conditions in place to sustain the benefits after the Facility's completion?
- c) Which results have realistic potential for continuation, replication or scaling up, and what additional support or action would be required?

7) Cross-cutting areas

- a) To what extent were gender equality, social inclusion and the participation of women and men in all their diversity integrated into the design, implementation, monitoring and results of the Facility?

- b) How meaningfully were relevant public, private-sector and civil-society stakeholders involved in the design and implementation of activities and related decision-making?
- c) To what extent was the Facility's MEL system appropriately designed and implemented, and how effectively did it support performance monitoring, learning and evidence-based decision-making?

V. Methodology and description of the assignment

1) Planned activities

The service provider shall conduct an independent final evaluation of the EU Green Recovery Facility in Sri Lanka, covering the entire implementation period and all areas of intervention. The evaluation shall apply the OECD-DAC criteria and use a participatory, gender-responsive and mixed-methods approach. The activities shall include, but not necessarily be limited to, the following:

Assignment preparation

- Participate in a launch meeting with Expertise France to clarify the purpose, scope, intended users, deliverables, working arrangements and schedule of the evaluation.
- Review the relevant project documentation.
- Develop an initial understanding of the Facility's context, implementation approach, stakeholder landscape and key developments during the implementation period.

Phase I: Inception and evaluation design

- Develop an evaluation matrix setting out the evaluation questions, judgement criteria, indicators, data sources, data collection methods and analytical approaches to be used.
- Propose an appropriate sampling strategy while ensuring adequate coverage of the Facility's three specific objectives and diverse stakeholder groups.
- Develop the proposed methodology for assessing selected outcome-level and overall-objective indicators that cannot be adequately measured through routine monitoring.
- The proposed methodology should include appropriate qualitative approaches to identify and document significant intended or unintended outcomes and explore how the Facility contributed to them. Bidders may consider outcome-focused methods, such as Outcome Harvesting or Most Significant Change, where appropriate.
- Prepare the required data collection tools.
- Follow ethical and data-protection considerations and secure data management.
- Prepare and submit an inception report (refer expected deliverables table for details).
- Finalise the inception report and data collection tools based on consolidated feedback from Expertise France.

Phase II: Data collection

- Conduct a detailed desk review of primary project documents.
- Execute the data collection plan using finalized tools and methods.
- Collect quantitative and qualitative evidence on the achievement of outputs and outcomes, the Facility's contribution to observed changes, and any significant intended or unintended results.
- Assess selected outcome-level and overall-objective indicators and establish or estimate their baseline values.

- Examine the Facility's implementation modality, including its flexibility, responsiveness, governance, coordination arrangements, partnership approach and capacity to translate policy priorities into practical interventions.
- Ensure the meaningful inclusion of different stakeholder perspectives and, where relevant, the disaggregation of data by sex and other appropriate characteristics.
- Record and securely manage the evidence collected, while maintaining confidentiality and complying with applicable data-protection requirements.

Phase III: Analysis and preliminary findings

- Analyse the evidence against the evaluation matrix and OECD-DAC criteria.
- Triangulate evidence from different methods, data sources and stakeholder groups, identifying areas of convergence, divergence and insufficient evidence.
- Assess progress against the results framework and examine the validity of the Facility's intervention logic.
- Analyse the internal and external factors that supported or constrained implementation and the achievement of results.
- Assess the Facility's contribution to observed results, taking into account other interventions, stakeholders and contextual factors.
- Analyse the sustainability, ownership, institutionalisation, replication and scaling-up prospects of the results supported by the Facility.
- Identify lessons learned, good practices, significant changes and practical recommendations for relevant stakeholders.
- Prepare and deliver a presentation of the preliminary findings to Expertise France. Facilitate a structured discussion to validate factual information, address evidence gaps and gather feedback. (This process shall not compromise the evaluation team's independence or require changes to independently reached conclusions.)

Phase IV: Evaluation reporting and finalisation

- Submit a draft evaluation report presenting the findings, conclusions, lessons and recommendations clearly, consistently and with adequate supporting evidence, in accordance with the agreed report outline and quality requirements.
- Ensure that recommendations are practical, prioritised, addressed to relevant stakeholders and clearly derived from the findings and conclusions.
- Revise the report based on consolidated feedback from Expertise France and submit a response matrix explaining how each comment has been addressed.
- Submit the final evaluation report and annexes, including the evaluation matrix, list of documents reviewed, stakeholder categories consulted, data collection tools and relevant non-confidential supporting analysis.
- Submit cleaned and anonymised datasets and other agreed evaluation materials in editable formats, with sufficient documentation to enable their interpretation.
- Present the final findings, conclusions and recommendations to Expertise France team and key beneficiaries.
- Prepare a concise form for each selected significant outcome, describing the initial situation, the outcome itself and the Facility's contribution to it.

Post-assignment follow-up: (conditional)

- Present the final findings, conclusions and recommendations at a designated event/meeting.

- Respond to reasonable requests for clarification relating to the final deliverables within the period specified in the contract.

2) Stakeholders and sampling strategy

The table below provides indicative stakeholder categories and approximate numbers to help bidders estimate the level of effort and working days required for data collection. It does not prescribe the sample or data collection methods. Bidders shall propose an appropriate and justified sampling strategy and data collection methods, taking into account stakeholder relevance, availability and responsiveness. These will be refined and agreed with Expertise France during the inception phase.

Stakeholders	Estimated number of persons
GRF technical team	6
GRF Admin and Finance team	2
Project Officer- MEL	1
Expertise France Management	2
European Union donor	1
Gender Expert	1
Beneficiaries of the project (refer annexure 1)	15
Key Stakeholders covering balanced representation of the Facility's activities	Sample from annexure 1 list
Service Providers who executed the activities	

3) Expected deliverables

Deliverables	Content	End date
1-Inception report	The inception report will be structured as follows: - Reminder of the context - Description of the action and stakeholders - Restatement of the project's Theory of Change - Understanding of the assignment objectives - Refined evaluation scope and questions - Evaluation matrix specifying the success criteria, indices/indicators, and data collection tools that the evaluation team plans to deploy to answer the evaluation questions - Description of the selected methodological approach, including sampling strategy - Limitations and mitigation measures; detailed work plan with updated timeline - Proposed report outline Maximum 15, A4 pages, Report template will be provided by EF.	T0 + 15 days
2-Data collection tools	Finalised data collection tools (these may include interview guides, focus group discussion guides,	T0 + 15 days

	questionnaires and any other proposed data collection tools, revised tools following feedback from Expertise France.	
3-Presentation of preliminary findings	Presentation summarising the emerging findings, initial conclusions, evidence gaps, limitations and issues requiring clarification	T0 + 7 weeks
4-Draft evaluation report	Draft report done according to agreed reporting outline. Key sections including; • evaluation methodology • detailed project narrative (the theory of change may be annexed) • an analytical section structured by evaluation criteria and question. For each question, findings from the data collection following the evaluation team's conclusions. • key lessons and good practices to inform the design and implementation of similar facilities or future green transition interventions. • Practical and prioritised recommendations co-constructed with the evaluation users to sustain or build upon the Facility's results. Note- Particular attention should be given to the use of relevant visual material and stakeholder testimonials to enrich the report and make its findings more accessible and meaningful to the intended audiences. (Maximum 50, A4 pages, Report template will be provided by EF. This includes an executive summary of no more than 5 pages, but excluding the cover page, table of contents and annexes. Clear and reader-friendly presentation of findings and recommendations, using tables, charts and other visual elements where appropriate.) *	T0 + 9.5 weeks
5- Final evaluation report	• Final report, incorporating consolidated feedback and accompanied by a response matrix and agreed annexes. (Same as *) • Submit cleaned and anonymised datasets and other agreed evaluation materials in editable formats. • Deliver a working-level presentation of the final findings, conclusions and recommendations with Expertise France team and key beneficiaries.	T0 + 12 weeks

6- Project outcome forms	Using evidence collected through the evaluation, prepare a concise form for each selected significant outcome, describing the initial situation, the outcome itself and the Facility's contribution to it. The outcomes to be documented and the number of forms required will be agreed with Expertise France during the initial phase.	T0 + 12 weeks
---------------------------------	--	----------------------

VI. Organization and timeline

At the Expertise France level, the evaluation assignment will be overseen by a group of three people: the GRF Project Manager, the GRF Project Officer based in Colombo and the Project Officer based in EF HQ in Paris. The main functions of this group will be to:

- facilitate introductions between the evaluation team and the project stakeholders,
- ensure that the consultants have access to all the information and documentation needed,
- organise the launch meeting and follow-up meetings with the evaluation team,
- collect and consolidate the comments and feedbacks on the deliverables produced,
- validate the deliverables submitted by the evaluators,
- ensure the communication on the findings and recommendations of the evaluation to the assignment users and other potential recipients,
- ensure the follow-up of the recommendations once the assignment has terminated.

This Steering group will be extended to other primary users of the evaluation, including at least the Circular Economy Unit Coordinator and the MEAL Coordinator based in HQ Paris. This group will meet at least three times during the evaluation process: **at the beginning**, to review and validate the inception report and assignment characteristics, **midway**, to review and discuss the preliminary findings and key observations from the data collection phase, and **at the end**, to validate the final conclusions and recommendations.

VII. Place, duration and terms of performance

- 1) Implementation period:** 4 months
- 2) Start date:** 2 November 2026 (estimated)
- 3) End date:** 28 February 2027 (estimated)
- 4) Place:** In Sri Lanka. The Expertise France office and most key Stakeholders and beneficiary organizations are located in the Western Province.
- 5) Schedule/programme:**

The provisional programme for assignment implementation is as follows:

Item	Nov 2026	Dec 2026	Jan 2027	Feb 2027	Deliverable dependency
Assignment preparation and inception phase (Inception report D1)					
Desk review and primary data collection (Data collection tools D2)					
Data analysis and presentation of preliminary findings (Presentation of preliminary findings D3)					D2
Preparation and submission of the draft evaluation report (Draft evaluation report D4)					D3
Revision and submission of the final evaluation report (Final evaluation report D5)					D4
Project outcome forms (D6)					D2 & D3
Presentation of final findings at the final event (D7)					D5

VIII. Required expertise and profile

- Number of experts per assignment** Consulting firm with multiple team members shall collectively meet the requirements of the three expert profiles below. One individual may fulfil more than one profile where the technical offer demonstrates that the individual meets the requirements of each profile and that the proposed combination of roles is feasible.

1.1 Senior Evaluation Expert

The Senior Evaluation Expert will provide overall technical leadership for the evaluation and assume primary responsibility for its methodological quality, analytical coherence and final outputs. The Senior Evaluation Expert may also be required to act as the Team Leader and serve as the principal point of contact between the evaluation team and Expertise France. The expert will lead the evaluation design, oversee data collection and analysis, ensure the integration of contributions from all team members, facilitate the presentation of findings, and assume primary responsibility for drafting and finalizing the evaluation report.

Educational qualifications

- A Master's degree in evaluation, development studies, economics, public policy, public administration, international development, social sciences, environmental studies or another directly relevant field.

Professional experience

- At least eight years of professional experience evaluating development programmes or international-cooperation interventions.
- Experience designing and leading evaluations using OECD-DAC evaluation criteria.
- Experience assessing theories of change or intervention logics.
- Experience applying contribution analysis or another clearly identified theory-based approach to assess an intervention's contribution to observed results.
- Experience conducting consultations with senior- and technical-level representatives of government institutions and private-sector organisations.
- Experience coordinating multidisciplinary evaluation teams.
- Experience as the lead or principal author of evaluation reports.
- Experience conducting evaluations in Sri Lanka or elsewhere in South Asia will be an asset.

1.2 Monitoring, Evaluation and Data Analysis Expert

The Monitoring, Evaluation (M&E) and Data Analysis Expert will lead the assessment of the Facility's intervention logic, results framework, indicators and MEL system and support the collection, management and analysis of quantitative and qualitative evidence.

Educational qualifications

- A Master's degree in monitoring and evaluation, statistics, economics, development studies, social research, data science, public policy or another directly relevant field.

Professional experience

- At least six years of professional experience in M&E, research or data analysis relating to development programmes, public-sector interventions or international cooperation.
- Experience reviewing theories of change, results frameworks and progress against indicators.
- Experience designing quantitative and qualitative data-collection tools and analysing the resulting data.
- Experience conducting consultations with senior- and technical-level representatives of government institutions and private-sector organisations.
- Experience in Sri Lanka or elsewhere in South Asia in a relevant MEL, research or evaluation assignment will be an asset.

1.3 Inclusive Green Economy and Sustainable Finance Expert

The Inclusive Green Economy and Sustainable Finance Expert will complement the team by providing technical and contextual insight into assignment design. The expert will support the interpretation of technical evidence and the assessment of relevance, performance, sustainability and future prospects, contributing to sound findings and recommendations suited to Sri Lankan context.

Educational qualifications

- A Master's degree in environmental economics, environmental science, climate change, sustainable development, energy, finance, economics, public policy, engineering or another directly relevant field.

Professional experience

- At least seven years of professional experience in inclusive green economy, environmental sustainability, climate action, sustainable finance or closely related areas.
- Experience assessing conditions affecting the sustainability, ownership, replication or scaling up of green-economy interventions.
- Experience developing technical or policy recommendations in relevant green-economy or sustainable-finance assignments.
- Experience contributing thematic analysis to evaluations, reviews or comparable assessments of green-economy or sustainable-finance interventions.
- Experience in Sri Lanka or elsewhere in South Asia in a relevant green-economy or sustainable-finance assignments will be an asset.

1.4 Team's cross-cutting and additional expertise

The following requirements apply to the proposed team collectively. The technical offer shall identify the expert or experts responsible for each area and provide supporting evidence in their CVs and/or the technical offer.

- Experience integrating gender equality and social inclusion into evaluation design, data collection, analysis, findings and recommendations.
- Proficiency in spoken and written English.
- Proficiency in Sinhala and/or Tamil is an asset.
- Experience undertaking evaluations, research or technical assignments commissioned by the EU, Expertise France, AFD or another bilateral or multilateral development partner.

Team composition and additional expertise

Bidders may propose additional experts, researchers, enumerators, translators or other support personnel where justified by the proposed methodology. Any additional personnel shall complement, and shall not replace, the three required expert profiles.

IX. Practical information

- The financial proposal shall specify all costs in a lump sum amount in EUR, excluding VAT, including each proposed team member. Any travel costs, the expert/firm's operating costs (technology, operations, local travel) should be considered within the lump sum contract amount.
- International travel shall require prior approval from Expertise France and shall comply with the applicable travel procedures.
- The service provider shall organise the travel and logistical arrangements required for data collection and stakeholder engagement, unless otherwise agreed with Expertise France.
- Expertise France will provide an introduction letter, relevant project documentation, available stakeholder contact details and support with initial introductions. The service provider shall remain responsible for scheduling consultations, confirming stakeholder availability and making the necessary operational arrangements in order to carry out the evaluation up to data relevance standards.
- A maximum budget of EUR 39,000.00 (Thirty-nine thousand only), is available for the implementation of the assignment.

X. Documents to submit

All applications must be submitted on Expertise France Platform using the link below:

<https://expertise-france.gestmax.fr/cv/upload/vacancy/16257/media/1>

- In the CV field (as a combined file, if applicable):
 - **An updated CV** for each expert of the team highlighting the skills and experience in line with the required ones (5 pages max), including contact details of 3x professional references
- In the motivation letter field (as a combined file):
 - **A technical offer** (15 pages max) including a demonstration of understanding of the assignment, an overview of the proposed data collection methodology and scope (including indicative number of interviews etc.), role and responsibilities of each team member, timeline, quality assurance, risk management, data protection arrangements,

and at least 3 examples of similar missions conducted and a reference for each (may be presented collectively as a team or as part of individual CVs).

- **A financial offer**, detailing the proposed lumpsum cost in EUROS, excluding VAT.
- **All documents should be in English.**
- **Incomplete applications will not be considered.**

Questions may be addressed to kalani.ranasinghe@expertisefrance.fr before the deadline and will be added to an FAQ uploaded to the application page on EF's recruitment portal on a rolling basis.

Deadline to apply: 20 October 2026 at 17.00 pm (Paris time). Expertise France reserves the right to choose a candidate before this date.

Annexure 1 – List of key beneficiaries and stakeholders

Code no	Abbreviation	Institution name
1	BEPZ	Biyagama Export Processing Zone
2	BOI	Board of Investment (Beneficiary)
3	CBSL	Central Bank of Sri Lanka (Beneficiary)
4	CCO	Climate Change Office
5	CCS	Climate Change Secretariat
6	CEA	Central Environment Authority
7	CEB	Ceylon Electricity Board
8	CSE	Colombo Stock Exchange (Beneficiary)
9	DFIs	Development Financial Institutions
10	DoPMM	Department of Project Management and Monitoring
11	EDFIs	European Development Financial Institutions
12	ERD	External Resources Department
13	EUD	European Union Delegation
14	FIs	Financial Institutions
15	GIZ	Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit
16	LECO	Lanka Electricity Company Ltd (Beneficiary)
17	MoE	Ministry of Environment (Beneficiary)
18	MoF	Ministry of Finance, Planning and Economic Development (Beneficiary)
19	Moi	Ministry of Industries and Entrepreneurship Development (Beneficiary)
20	MoMM	Ministry of Mass Media (Beneficiary)
21	MoPE	Ministry of Energy (Beneficiary)
22	MoWCA	Ministry of Women and Child Affairs
23	PS	Presidential Secretariat
24	SEC	Security Exchange Commission (Beneficiary)
25	SLSEA	Sri Lanka Sustainable Energy Authority (Beneficiary)
26	PUCSL	Public Utilities Commission of Sri Lanka
27	RDD	Rubber Development Department (Beneficiary)
28	NSO	National System Operator
29	MoP&CI	Ministry of Plantation & Community Infrastructure
30	RRI	Rubber Research Institute

Annexure 2 – List of Activities

Activity no	Activity title	Key Stakeholders as identified in Annex 1
1.1.1	Identifying stakeholders who can play a key role in ensuring that green policies are prioritised	17
1.1.2	Promoting the prioritisation of green policies by decision-makers	17, 20
1.2.1	Identifying the key macro-level drivers to ensure a long-lasting transition to a green economy (MLA)	17
1.2.2	Identifying sectoral gaps with the greatest potential for Sri Lanka to achieve the transition towards a green economy	
1.2.3	Support the implementation of green economy policies (Energy Expert)	2, 7, 16, 17, 19, 21, 25, 26
1.2.3.1	Support the sustainable integration of rooftop solar PV Energy systems in Sri Lanka's power grid (Solar)	7, 16, 17, 21, 25, 26, 28, Energy Sector Working group (reps from stakeholder org), Solar Energy Association
1.2.3.2	Support to improve the efficiency and capacity enhancement of 1st generation large wind power plants in Sri Lanka (Wind)	7, 16, 17, 21, 25, 26, 28, Provincial EA, 1 st Generation wind power plant developers
1.2.3.3	Support to update the feasibility study for the proposed Tri-generation facility in Biyagama Export Processing Zone (Tri-gen)	2, 17, 19, 21, 25, 26
1.2.3.4	Support to design a proposal for a real-time, load-based effluent monitoring system of Seethawaka Export Processing Zone (Load-based)	2, 6, 17
2.1.1	Identification of policy reforms and other policy enablers to facilitate EU companies market entry in green economy related sectors (Facilitating the Market Entry and Expansion of European Union-based Green and Sustainable Businesses into Sri Lanka) (EU Green Investment)	13, EU missions

2.2.1	Identification of incentives for enhanced SCP policies and practice (capacity-building for EUDR compliance) (EUDR)	2, 17, 27, 29, 30
2.2.2	Awareness raising and capacity building of private sector actors to Resource Efficient Cleaner Production practices (EIP) Promotion of the Eco-Industrial Parks Concept in Sri Lanka- 2.2.2.1	2, 6, 17, 19, Industrial Park Operators
2.2.3	Policy and Technical Support for the Strengthening of National Eco-Labeling Framework in Sri Lanka (Eco-labeling)	17, Eco-labeling scheme owners
2.3.1	Assessment for digital solutions (Digital Solutions)	2, 13
3.1.1	Assisting the Central Bank in making the Green Taxonomy operational (Green tax)	3, Financial institutions
3.2.1	Supporting the access to Development Finance Institutions (DFI) green operations	Financial institutions
3.2.2	Facilitating the issuance of Green Bonds by the private sector (GB)	3, 8, 17, 18, 24
3.3.1	Case study analysis (Analysis of funding potential and dissemination strategies for nature-based solutions projects) (NbS)	17