

Terms of Reference to Recruit Evaluator:

**“EU- Green Economy Partnership with the Philippines (GEPP)-
Green Business, Infrastructure, and Finance Midterm Evaluation”**

July 2026

Project Title:	EU-PH Partnership for Green Economy: Green Business, Infrastructure, and Finance.
CRIS No:	NDICIASIA/2023/450-499
Country:	Philippines
Starting date:	15 December 2023
End date:	15 June 2028
Project Duration:	54 months
Total budget:	EUR 12,751,493.79
EF total budget:	EUR 10,813,966
GGGI total budget:	EUR 1,937,527
Implemented by:	Expertise France and GGGI
Final Beneficiaries/Target groups:	300 youth, entrepreneurs, consumer-advocates, and member of GEDSI groups 110 Green Businesses (start-up, MSME) in the Philippines 30 Green Jobs for Informal Waste Worker 5 Municipalities/cities 2 Financial Institutions
Beneficiaries for Mid-term Evaluation	Youth, incubators, entrepreneurs, local government, private sector including bank and investors
Budget for Mid-term Evaluation	EUR 25,000 (indicative budget)

Contents

1. Background:	4
1.1. General context	4
1.2. Project Overview:	5
2. Project Objectives	6
2.1. Description of Objectives:	6
2.2. Objectives of the Evaluation	7
2.3. Expectations of the assignment:	8
3. Scope of the evaluation	8
3.1. Criteria and Evaluation Questions	9
4. Methodology:	11
4.1. Inception/Development phase: (20-30 days)	12
4.2. Data Collection phase	13
4.3. Data Analysis & Reporting Phase	13
4.4. Feedback phase	14
4.5. Ethical Considerations for Midterm Evaluation:	14
5. Expected Deliverables	16
6. Qualifications and Experience:	17

1. Background:

1.1. General context

The Philippines has a population of 106 million inhabitants¹, estimated to grow to 142 million by 2045². The pandemic impacted economic growth and poverty reduction, shocking the tourism industry, which represents 9% of the GDP³. Still, the economy recovered rapidly in 2021 due to public investment and resumption of commerce.

Youth employment has risen since 2018, reaching 7.3 per cent in 2021, according to the World Bank⁴. Women's labor participation rates remain lower than men's; at just 49%, the country's female labor force in 2019 was one of the lowest in the East Asia and the Pacific (EAP) region, compared to 76% of Filipino men⁵. Creating inclusive and decent jobs is key to unlocking sustainable economic growth.

Climate change is the most significant socio-economic threat. Economic development, characterized by a linear growth model, has led to environmental degradation. Climate vulnerability is further aggravated by a waste management crisis, suffering from unclear roles, lack of transparent data, and insufficient budgets. The leakage of plastic waste is a concern for island countries; according to a World Bank report, the Philippines is the third largest contributor, with an estimated 0.75 million metric tons of mismanaged plastic entering the ocean every year⁶. A sector of informal waste workers has developed, estimated by the World Bank at over 100,000 individuals⁷.

The circular economy is a powerful framework for economic development. As defined by the European Parliament, it is "a model of production and consumption which involves sharing, leasing, reusing, repairing, refurbishing, and recycling existing materials and products as long as possible⁸."

The Innovative Start-Up Act of 2019 seeks to facilitate the process of launching an enterprise and provide access to financing and other support services for entrepreneurs. Several private sector initiatives have recognised the opportunity for innovation to drive the circular economy in the Philippines. Entrepreneurial Support Organisations (ESOs) such as Makes Sense, Villgro, and The Incubation Network

¹ European Commission, INTPA: https://international.partnerships.ec.europa.eu/countries/philippines_en

² Philippine Statistic Authority, "A 142 Million Philippine Population by 2045?" 2014, July 28

³ International Monetary Fund "Report for Selected Countries and Subjects". Retrieved 14 January 2023

⁴ The World Bank "Unemployment, youth total (% of total labour force ages 15-24) Philippines" – April 2023

⁵ The World Bank « Overcoming Barriers to Women's work in the Philippines » - April 2022

⁶ The World Bank Group "Market Study for the Philippines: Plastics Circularity Opportunities and Barriers. East Asia and Pacific Region Marine Plastics" – 2021

⁷ The World Bank Blogs: "Nothing Left to Waste in the Philippines", September 2014

⁸ European Parliament, « Circular Economy : definition, importance, and benefits » 2023

engage in advocacy efforts; eco-design is also promoted by the DTI-Design Center of the Philippines and DOST.

The Philippine Action Plan for Sustainable Consumption and Production (PAP4SCP), aims to “increase innovation and investment in the development of green technologies and systems/practices” through various private sector interventions such as the promotion of green research agendas, studying market trends in emerging green and circular economy sectors, and increasing support to MSME’s to address the pressing challenges of profitability coupled with sustainability.

1.2. Project Overview:

The Green Economy Program in the Philippines (GEPP) is a €60 million program financed by the European Union to support Philippines’ transition towards a green economy including initiatives on circular economy, reducing waste and plastic, as well as to increase energy efficiency and renewable energy deployment to support climate change mitigation. The implementing institution on the Philippines side is the Department of Environment and Natural Resources (DENR).

GEPP comprises of four strategic objectives, namely:

SO1: Improved circular waste economy and climate change policies and reduced GHG emissions. Implemented by DENR and GIZ.

SO2: Enhanced practices of circular waste economy by the Local Government Units in collaboration with the private sector and the civil society sector, with focus on youth and gender equality. Implemented by DILG and UNDP.

SO3: Increasing the engagement of private and financial sectors, inclusive of gender and youth, in the Philippines’ green and circular economy. Implemented by DTI, Expertise France, GGGI and IFC.

SO4: Increased energy efficiency and renewable energy deployment – a cross-cutting objective across levels. Implemented by DOE and IFC.

Expertise France (EF) is leading specific objective 3 with Global Green Growth Institute (GGGI) which focuses on three main outputs: 1) support the creation and growth of eco-enterprises for green/circular economy innovations, 2) support the green public private partnership projects which engage local private sector actors in circular economy management, 3) support to the financial sector for the allocation of circular/green economy-focused financing and acceleration of high-growth potential innovative circular/green economy start-ups.

Expertise France’s intervention targets both upstream actions which reduce the amounts of waste generated by private sector activity through innovation and adoption of circular business models, while also targeting downstream actions which improve collections and recycling rates through improved private sector engagement. GGGI’s

action will target financial sector actors in order to ensure sustainable financing for the Philippines' circular economy.

2. Project Objectives

2.1. Description of Objectives:

During the project's inception phase, Expertise France and GGGI collaborated to build a project that fully addresses the objectives of the European Union, while considering the Philippine context and the needs expressed by the local authorities, particularly the Department of the Environment and Natural Resources and the Department of Trade and Industry.

Overall Goal/Impact of the Green Economy Programme in the Philippines:

Accelerated the transition into an inclusive and climate-smart circular economy Philippines

Specific/strategic Objective/Outcome 3:

Increased involvement of private and financial sector in the development of an inclusive, green, and circular economy in the Philippines

Expected Results/Outputs:

Output 1 (EF): Increased technical and financial capacities of entrepreneurs and MSMEs to create and develop sustainable and inclusive eco-enterprises in the field of circular economy

Output 2 (EF): Increased participation of the private sector in municipal inclusive waste management projects through improved capacities and tools of LGUs to design and implement such projects

Output 3 (GGGI): Increased funding and investment opportunities for MSMEs and high-growth-potential start-ups linked to the circular and green economy transformation.

Theory of Change:

If the technical and financial capacities of entrepreneurs and MSMEs to create and develop sustainable and inclusive eco-enterprises in the circular economy are strengthened (Output 1), **if** the participation of the private sector in municipal inclusive circular economy projects is increased through enhanced capacities and tools for LGUs to design and implement such projects (Output 2), and **if** funding and investment opportunities for MSMEs and high-growth-potential start-ups linked to the circular and

green economy are expanded (Output 3), **then** the involvement of the private and financial sectors in the development of the green and circular economy in the Philippines will increase (Outcome 1).

This will be possible provided that the following assumptions continue to hold:

- Competent authorities and decision-makers remain committed to developing public-private partnerships and collaborations with the private sector to promote the circular economy and environmental sustainability.
- The national and local economic and business ecosystem continues to support the creation of start-ups and the growth of enterprises engaged in the circular economy and green growth sectors.
- Financial institutions maintain their interest in supporting the circular economy by mobilizing new investments and providing financial support to eco-enterprises.
- Local governments continue to prioritize improvements in waste management by allocating the necessary financial and technical resources.

If the involvement of the private and financial sectors in the development of the green and circular economy in the Philippines increases (Outcome/SO3 3), **then**, in the long term, the transition to an inclusive and climate-smart circular economy in the Philippines will be accelerated.

The macro strategies are threefold:

1. Support the creation and growth of eco-enterprises which promote gender and social justice, through advocacy, capacity-building, and financial assistance to support structures such as ESO's, business associations, etc.
2. Support green infrastructure projects which engage private sector actors in the circular waste management economy, by accompanying the development and execution of PPPs which delegate waste management services to the private sector and are inclusive of local MSMEs and entrepreneurs
3. Support the financial sector for the allocation of circular /green economy-focused financing and acceleration of high-growth-potential innovative circular/green economy start-ups

2.2. Objectives of the Evaluation

The evaluation is being conducted at an early stage of project implementation and will therefore focus on assessing implementation progress to date, initial achievements, and identifying necessary adjustments to support effective delivery moving forward.

The midterm review will examine the project using the OECD-DAC as the main criteria for evaluation. It will assess status of implementation, key results achieved thus far, while also assessing the continued relevance of the project in relation to its objectives

and targets. It will analyze progress toward the achievement of planned results, considering any emerging gaps or challenges. In addition, the review will document emerging good practices and capture initial lessons learned to inform adaptive management and strengthen the likelihood of achieving the project's intended outcomes.

The overall objective of this review is to provide an independent midterm evaluation to assess the first 27 months of the project management, implementation, and results particularly:

1. *Review the progress of the project activities, stakeholder engagement and alignment with EU and Philippine strategic priorities*
2. *Assess the project's relevance to the stakeholder's needs, and its coherence within the EU-Philippines Green Economy consortium.*
3. *Assess the project's efficiency and effectiveness based on the activities implemented and the results achieved*
4. *Draw lessons learned and good practice from the inception phase to the mid-implementation phase*
5. *Utilize the results of midterm evaluation to improve strategic implementation for the next phase.*

This midterm evaluation is a milestone for the project to ensure its accountability to the donor **European Union** the implementing agency (Expertise France), and the project beneficiaries, i.e. youth advocates, entrepreneurs, incubators, local government, and other private sectors.

Given the challenge and complexity of the project, its the project length of 4.5 years and the multi-actor approach and the multi-sector holistic approach and in line with recommendations made in the project M&E plan, the project team wishes to carry out an external mid-term evaluation.

2.3. *Expectations of the assignment:*

The evaluator should provide evidence to explain the analyses, cause and effect linkages and attempt to identify any factors that are enhancing or hindering progress. Their work must foster accountability, decision-making, and learning.

3. **Scope of the evaluation**

The scope of the evaluation will include the following:

Implementation period:	• Output 1 and 2: January 2024-June 2028 • Output 3: January 2024-December 2026
Evaluation period:	• January 2024-March 2026

Overall Target until 2028:	• 300 youth, entrepreneurs, consumer-advocates, and member of GEDSI groups • 110 Green Businesses (start-up, MSME) in the Philippines • 30 Green Jobs for Informal Waste Worker • 5 Municipalities/cities • 2 Financial Institutions
Intervention areas:	• Output 1: Cordillera Administrative Region NCR, Cebu, Davao Region • Output 2: Iligan City, Iloilo, Samal, and Zamboanga City • Output 3: TBD
Project Budget:	Indicative budget: 25,000 euro

3.1. Criteria and Evaluation Questions

The evaluation will use the criteria defined by the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) Development Assistance Committee (DAC): [relevance, effectiveness, efficiency, coherence, early observations on impact, and sustainability including the cross-cutting themes of gender, youth, and geography.

The evaluation questions detailed below have been developed in a participatory manner by the steering committee. They will be reviewed by the evaluator during the evaluation start-up phase, in order to suggest a final version in the inception report approved by the steering committee.

Evaluation criteria	Key Evaluation Questions (KEQs)
Relevance	• To what extent are the project objectives, expected results and activities logically defined and consistent? • To what extent does the project respond to the needs, gaps, and challenges of the project beneficiaries/participants based on stakeholder's perspectives? Are there differences in perceptions across stakeholders, and how can they be explained?
Consistency/ Coherence/ complementarity	• To what extent do the activities undertaken contribute to the EU and Philippines' development objectives (i.e. global gateway/Philippine Development Plan) and how coherent are they with its strategic priorities? • Which synergies exist and to what extent they can contribute to programme results? (EF and

	other technical partners of EU-Green Economy Programme in the Philippines)
Efficiency	- To what extent are resources, governance and implementation arrangements enabling timely and effective implementation? - To what extent does the project leverage partnerships to optimize cost?
Effectiveness	- Based on progress to date, to what extent is the project likely to achieve its expected results, for which stakeholder partners and beneficiary groups and under what conditions? - What early signs of change can be observed, and to what extent can they reasonably be attributed to the project at this stage?
Impact	What significant intended or unintended, positive or negative impacts can be observed as a result of the project interventions?
Sustainability	To what extent are the technical and financial including investment mechanisms, in place can sustain the project?
Cross-cutting themes	<i>Gender (GEDSI), youth, and geographical representation.</i> - how does the gender, youth, and geographical barriers considered and addressed in the project? - To what extent is the MEAL system being established and used to support monitoring, learning, and adaptive management at this stage?

The consultant is expected to provide a value judgment on each of the evaluation questions raised by going through the criteria. As part of this analysis, the consultant will ensure that the judgments made for each of the six evaluation criteria make it possible to cover all the key stages of the project cycle.

Additionally, the consultant will formulate sub-questions to further assess the following themes:

Output 1: Start-up ecosystem strengthening and innovation, MSME support mechanism and competitiveness

Output 2: LGU and private sector engagement models

Output 3: Green financing initiatives

Overall: green jobs creation, investment mobilization

Lastly, the consultant should also verify whether the following cross-cutting issues: ***gender and youth inclusion, and addressing the geographical barriers*** were taken into account when documents were identified / developed and to what degree they were present in the implementation and supervision of the work.

4. Methodology:

The midterm evaluation will adopt a mixed method both qualitative and quantitative approach generate in-depth insights from key stakeholders involved in project implementation. A participatory evaluation approach will be applied, integrating gender and sustainability perspectives throughout the process.

The approved SO3 (Specific Objective 3) logframe will serve as the primary framework for assessing project results during the midterm evaluation. The evaluation will systematically review the agreed indicators, targets, and means of verification outlined in the logframe to measure progress toward the intended outcomes and outputs.

The methodology will include the following components:

1. Data Collection

- a. Data will be gathered through a desk review of relevant project documents and key informant interviews (KIIs) with internal staff and selected external stakeholders, guided by the data collection matrix.
- b. A structured survey will be administered to a representative sample of project beneficiaries and stakeholders. The survey will collect quantitative data on stakeholder partners feedback derived from project activities.
- c. The evaluation will assess progress against the project's logical framework vis-à-vis project results. Quantitative data from project monitoring systems, reports, and databases will be reviewed to measure achievements against established targets.
 - Output and outcome indicators;
 - Beneficiary reach and coverage;
 - Activity implementation status;
- d. Review of the logical framework, indicators, and target vis-à-vis with the project results achieved, and internal M&E mechanisms, and data acquired.

2. Data Analysis and Validation

Collected data will be systematically analyzed and triangulated using the Key Evaluation Questions (KEQs) aligned with the OECD-DAC criteria (relevance, coherence, efficiency, and effectiveness) to ensure robustness, credibility, and accountability of findings.

3. **Synthesis of Findings**

The evaluation will capture emerging good practices, initial lessons learned, and key challenges encountered during implementation.

4. **Recommendations and Use of Findings**

Based on the analysis, actionable recommendations will be developed to inform adaptive management. The findings will also serve as key inputs for the upcoming planning workshop and subsequent project decision-making.

The consultant is asked to closely link with Expertise France and the evaluation steering committee setting out their reasoning, and regularly throughout the assignment, from the point of developing the scoping outline up to the meeting to present the draft report. In particular, observations and initial areas of analysis must be shared at the end of the assignment, before the draft report is written.

Evaluation Steering Committee:

There shall be an evaluation steering committee member composed of the main European Union, Department of Trade and Industry- Bureau of Policy Research and Innovation, Global Green Growth Institute and Expertise France. Their main responsibilities are the following:

1. Provide technical inputs in designing project evaluation terms of reference (TOR)
2. Provide technical inputs in formulating evaluation questions
3. Specify the conditions for carrying out the evaluation
4. Review and approve the evaluation matrix and plan from the external evaluator
5. Review and approve the evaluation findings and recommendations

4.1. Inception/Development phase: (20-30 days)

- a. Kick off-meetings between the evaluators and steering committee members to present their initial evaluation plan, level off expectations, and clarify their scope of work;
- b. Initial desk review from the available data sources (internal data collection)
- c. Initial interviews/meetings with project leader/ M&E officer to gather more information (if needed)
- d. Submission of inception report and revised evaluation plan/matrix, including data collection tools i.e. questionnaires

- e. Review and testing of data collection tools (schedule of data collection already communicated with the key informants)

4.2. Data Collection phase

Primary data collection is planned the whole month of September, during the field visit by the consultants to the intervention location for a period of not more than 14 days. This collection process will be carried out remotely by a team based in Philippines recruited by the service provider, if it is not possible to do so in person. It will include:

The use of qualitative and quantitative collection methods set out by the evaluator with all project stakeholders, based on a sample suggested by the evaluator.

Primary data collection (Face-to-face or online)

- Stakeholder Consultation/Focus Group Discussions with stakeholder beneficiaries- *where feasible, additional consultations or small group discussions may be conducted to validate findings and capture diverse perspectives, including those related to gender and sustainability.* (women's & youth groups, incubators, entrepreneurs, local government staff)
- Key Informant Interviews with implementing partners- *Semi-structured interviews will be conducted with internal project staff, implementing partners, and relevant external stakeholders* (government agencies, technical partners)
- Field visits to various implementation sites (incubators, local government partners, and startup/s)
- Conducting survey for youth, entrepreneurs, and advocates participated in various events conducted.

Secondary data:

- In-depth desk review- *A review of key project documents, including the project proposal, logical framework, work plans, progress reports, monitoring data, and relevant policy or strategic documents.* (i.e. project reports, project monitoring tools, government reports about the project, means of verifications, knowledge products, activity feedback results, and other relevant documents).

4.3. Data Analysis & Reporting Phase

1. Qualitative data from focus group discussions, document reviews and key informant interviews will be organized, coded, and analyzed thematically, guided by the Key Evaluation Questions (KEQs).
2. A triangulation approach will be applied to compare and validate findings, ensuring consistency and credibility of results. The analysis will be structured around the OECD-DAC evaluation criteria—relevance, coherence, efficiency,

and effectiveness—to provide a comprehensive assessment of project performance.

3. Preliminary findings may be validated through internal discussions or stakeholder feedback sessions to validate the results. The analysis will also identify emerging good practices, key challenges, and initial lessons learned, which will inform the development of actionable and forward-looking recommendations

4.4. Feedback phase

1. Submission of the evaluation report including the slides/deck to be presented to the Steering Committee Members.
2. Once approved, a validation workshop will be organized by the evaluators to present the initial findings of their study, it's an opportunity to gather feedback and validate if the data presented are true. Recommendations from the stakeholders (respondents) will be collected and reviewed to be included in the report.
3. The final evaluation report and recommendations will be presented and is subject for approval of the Steering Committee Members.

4.5. Ethical Considerations for Midterm Evaluation:

- 1. Informed Consent, Confidentiality and Data Privacy**
All participants should be fully informed about the purpose of the midterm evaluation, how their data will be used, and their right to refuse or withdraw at any time without consequences. Consent should be obtained prior to data collection.

Personal and sensitive information must be handled with strict confidentiality. Data should be anonymized where possible and stored securely, in compliance with applicable data protection regulations. Access should be limited to authorized personnel only.

In accordance with Global Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), and Data Privacy Act of the Philippines

Data Collection and Use

Participation in surveys, interviews, focus group discussions, and other evaluation activities shall be voluntary.

Data Ownership

All data, records, reports, transcripts, and other information collected or generated through the evaluation shall remain the property of the commissioning organization and/or project owner.

Data Storage and Security

The evaluator shall implement appropriate organizational, physical, and technical safeguards to protect personal and sensitive information from unauthorized access, disclosure, alteration, loss, or destruction.

Confidentiality and Anonymity

All information obtained during the evaluation shall be treated as confidential.

Data Sharing

Data sharing with third parties shall only occur when authorized by the commissioning organization and in accordance with applicable data-sharing agreements, institutional protocols, and relevant legal requirements.

Data Retention and Disposal

Evaluation data shall be retained only for the period necessary to complete the evaluation, address quality assurance requirements, and comply with contractual or legal obligations.

Data Turnover Upon Completion

Upon completion of the evaluation, the evaluator shall transfer all relevant datasets, transcripts, tools, documentation, and analysis files to the commissioning organization through secure means.

2. Do No Harm Principle & Protection of Vulnerable Groups

The evaluation process should avoid causing physical, psychological, social, or economic harm to participants. Questions and methods must be culturally sensitive and appropriate to the local context.

Special care must be taken when engaging with vulnerable populations (e.g., children, indigenous peoples, persons with disabilities). Additional safeguards should be applied to ensure their safety, dignity, and inclusion. *In accordance with Amended Magna Carta for Persons with Disability, and Indigenous People's Rights Act.*

3. Voluntary

Participation

Participation in the evaluation must be entirely voluntary. No coercion, pressure, or undue influence should be applied to encourage participation.

4. Transparency

and

Accountability

The evaluation objectives, methodology, and intended use of findings should be clearly communicated to stakeholders. Findings should be reported honestly, without manipulation or misrepresentation.

5. Data Accuracy and Integrity

Evaluators must ensure that data is collected, analyzed, and reported accurately. Fabrication, falsification, or selective reporting of data is strictly prohibited.

6. Accountability and Feedback to Participants

Where appropriate, key findings of the evaluation should be shared with participants and stakeholders in an accessible and understandable format.

7. Responsible Data Use and Sharing

Data collected should only be used for the stated evaluation purposes. Any sharing with third parties must comply with consent agreements and data protection standards.

5. Expected Deliverables

The deliverables must be submitted by email in Word format to the recipients who will be indicated to the evaluation team during the start-up phase. They must be written in English.

Deliverables	# pages max.	Delivery date
- Evaluation Plan (detailed workplan, methodology, systematic description to carry out the midterm evaluation) - Evaluation Matrix: Data collection tools, key evaluation questions (KEQs) and questionnaires - Scope and limitation of this study - Roles and responsibilities - Schedule of data collection for each respondent	20-30	# of days (depending on the evaluation plan of the consultant)
- Initial evaluation report (word document) - Initial evaluation presentation including the outline of the data collection (slide document)	40	# of days (depending on the evaluation plan of the consultant)

Consolidated feedback from Steering Committee members and stakeholders (respondents)		
Final Evaluation Report with Letter of No Objection from the Steering Committee Members	50	# of days (depending on the evaluation plan of the consultant)

The total assignment is estimated at 90 person days, between August to October 2026 as detailed below for information:

Activities	Location	Period	Duration (H/D) [optional]
Inception/Development phase	Virtual	August 01- August 30	30 days
Data Collection Phase	Face-to- Face/Virtual	September 01 - September 30	30 days
Data Analysis and Report Writing	Virtual	September 30- October 20	20 days
Presentation of Initial Findings/Validation Workshop	Virtual		
Final Report and Recommendations <i>Raw data sets, interview transcripts, presentation materials, and analytical tools will form part of the required turnover documents.</i>	Virtual	October 20- October 30	10 days

6. Qualifications and Experience:

Profile of appointed expert(s) to undertake the contract: Preferably a **pair of experts**, national/international, technical/MEAL specialist:

- Post-graduate in a field relevant to the assignment: in social sciences/international cooperation, development management,

business/finance management, environmental sciences or equivalent experiences.

- Professional experience in the fields of expertise in circular economy, sustainable business and finance, or environment of 10 to 15 years
- Multi-stake holder coordination experiences particularly dealing with various national government agencies in the Philippines
- Experience in similar projects or knowledge of project management viewed favorably
- Good knowledge in Philippine context.

Technical skills:

- Development of evaluation systems
- Knowledge sharing and design of learning materials
- Demonstrated experience of 5-10 years in facilitating participatory evaluation processes, including workshops and multi-stakeholder consultations.
- Demonstrated experience of 5-10 years in co-constructing recommendations with stakeholders while maintaining analytical independence
- Demonstrated experience in circular economy, MSME and startup development, green finance, and evaluation of donor-funded projects within the Philippine context.

Language skills:

- Excellent command of written and spoken English (good writing, synthesis and analysis skills, etc.).
- Speaking in Filipino is an advantage

Content of tenders:

Technical outline: demonstrate an understanding of and comment on the terms of reference, methodology, composition of the evaluation team, CVs and similar experience, and include the components mentioned in the terms of reference (detailed workplan, distribution of roles and responsibilities)

Financial outline: overall budget for the evaluation, including the following: [daily cost of each participant; breakdown of time spent on each intervention by participant and by phase; additional costs (services and additional documents); transport costs (international and local), logistics costs, translation costs; and proposed terms of payment.]

Percentage of contract amount
Payment conditions

20%	Upon submission and acceptance of the final inception report and its annexes
30%	Upon completion of data collection and presentation and validation of preliminary evaluation findings
30%	Upon submission and presentation of the draft evaluation report and its annexes
20%	Upon completion and final acceptance of the final evaluation report and required annexes [including evaluation brief, as applicable]; presentation of results and recommendations to relevant stakeholders

Expertise France will select the bid with the best score based on the following table:

Criteria	Maximum score
Technical outline score, including:	
Are the important aspects of the TOR, particularly the scope and methodology, addressed sufficiently? – 5 pts - Are the different components of the project adequately weighted relative to one another? – 5 pts	10
Overall methodological approach , quality control approach, relevance of the proposed tools and analysis of the difficulties and challenges encountered Is the proposed approach backed by the Firm's past and successful experience of having applied the same in relevant past projects?	20
Structure of tasks and schedule - Demonstration of the ability to plan and effectively implement the project within the given timelines and overall requirement of the project. Gantt chart must be provided, and risks must be identified, including risk mitigation method (10 pts)	10

Evaluation team score: - Professional experience in project evaluation, (quantitative i.e. number of years + number of projects) <i>5 pts= 10 years' experience</i> <i>5 pts= 10 or more project evaluation contracts</i> - Professional experience in project evaluation (quality of the project contracts international, SEA, Philippines, i.e. participatory and inclusive project evaluation, stakeholder engagements). <i>4 pts= international experiences</i> <i>4 pts= Philippines experiences</i> <i>2 pts= participatory and inclusive project evaluation</i> - Professional Experience in circular economy, sustainability, and environment projects <i>-10 pts number of evaluation contract on circular economy, sustainability, environment projects</i> - Professional Experience working with in the Philippine context, engaging with government, local government, and private sector, communities (10 points) <i>-5 pts. experience evaluating business sector, public-private partnership, and financing</i> <i>-5 pts. experience in evaluating GEDSI component</i>	40
Financial Outline Score Financial Score=(Bidder's Evaluated Price Lowest Evaluated Price)×20	20
Total score	100