Annex 1

Evaluative questions to assess EUROCLIMA+ RFP TA performance and impacts

Highlighted questions are deemed to be a priori the most relevant, the evaluator is expected to refine the evaluative questions first in his/her technical offer and then in the initial concept note following stakeholders meetings.

Relevance

- Does the implemented TA (Technical Assitance) respond to the TA strategy developed at the start of the project?
- To what extent users were involved in the process of developing the TA strategy and planning activities? How effective were user's participation mechanisms deployed to feedback the planning of TA activities?
- What are the lessons learnt regarding the involvement of RFP stakeholders and TA beneficiaries into TA strategy development, activity planning and activity monitoring?

Coherence

- Is the TA implemented by EF aligned with the EC + Programme policy? Coherent and complementary to the interventions of the other sectors of the program? Coherent and complementary to the TA implemented by PRA projects?

Impact & effectiveness

- To what extent TA did contribute to strengthen RFP stakeholder's skills and knowledge related to the implementation of NDCs and agricultural good practices, based on observed changes?
- To what extent TA did enable the documentation, systematization and appropriation by sectoral policy makers of good practices and lessons learnt for climate change resilient agriculture?
- Has TA interventions had an impact on the integration of good agricultural practices regarding adaptation and mitigation of climate change in sectoral public policies? To what extent?
- Regarding communication and visibility, to what extent did the TA interventions match / respond EC+ C&V* strategy? What are the main achievements and what were the limits of TA interventions regarding C&V* ? What lessons can be learned for EC+ phase 4 ?
- Regarding knowledge management, to what extent did the TA interventions match / respond EC+ KM** strategy? What are the main achievements and what were the limits of TA interventions regarding KM? What lessons can be learned for EC+ phase 4 ?

Efficiency

- Were available resources efficiently mobilized (HR, budget) with regard to quality of outputs?

Sustainability

- To what extent did the TA implement tools to ensure long-lasting impact on the beneficiaries of the TA activities ?
- To what extent did the TA implement tools to facilitate the transfer of results and lessons learnt / good practices towards EUROCLIMA+ next phase (phase 4 – Country dialogues)?

- What are the lessons learnt and good practices to be transferred towards EUROCLIMA+ next phase (phase 4 – Country dialogues) especially regarding communication and visibility, knowledge management and documentation and systematization?

*C&V = Communication and Visibility

**KM= Knowledge Management